UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

GEOMAS (INTERNATIONAL) LTD., **Plaintiff,** **v.** **IDEARC MEDIA SERVICES-WEST INC.,** **Defendant.**	)	**Civil Action No. 2:06-CV-00475-TJW** **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

DOCKET CONTROL ORDER

In accordance with the case status conference held herein on the 1st day of November, 2007, it is hereby

ORDERED that the following schedule of deadlines is in effect until further order of this court:

2/2/09	Jury Selection - 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas
1/21/2009	Pretrial Conference - a.m./p.m. in Marshall, Texas
1/14/2009	Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions and Form of the Verdict.
1/14/2009	**Motions in Limine Due** The parties are ordered to **meet and confer** on their respective motions *in limine* and **advise the court of any agreements in this regard by 3:00 p.m. the business day before** the pretrial conference. The parties shall limit their motions *in limine* to those issues which, if improperly introduced into the trial of the case would be so prejudicial that the court could not alleviate the prejudice with appropriate instruction(s).

1/7/09	**Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting of Court Proceedings.** If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and e-mail the Court Reporter, Susan Simmons, at lssimmons@yahoo.com.
12/05/08	Mediation to be completed
11/7/08	Defendant to Identify Trial Witnesses
11/7/08	Plaintiff to Identify Trial Witnesses
10/29/08	Response to Dispositive Motions (including Daubert motions)[1] **Responses to dispositive motions filed prior to the dispositive motion deadline, including *Daubert* Motions, shall be due in accordance with Local Rule CV-7(e). Motions for Summary Judgment shall comply with Local Rule CV56.**
10/15/08	Deadline for filing Dispositive Motions and any other motions that may require a hearing (including *Daubert* motions)
10/10/08	Fact Discovery Deadline
Tbd	**65** Days after claim construction ruling -- Expert Discovery Deadline
Tbd	**40** Days after claim construction ruling – Designation of Rebuttal Experts and Rebuttal Report(s) due.
Tbd	**20** Days after claim construction ruling Comply with P.R. 3-8.
Tbd	**20** Days after claim construction ruling – Party with the burden of proof to designate Expert Witnesses (other than claim construction) and provide Expert Report(s).
8/07/08	Claim construction hearing 9:00 a.m., **Marshall, Texas.**
7/24/08	Deadline to submit Technical Tutorial should party choose to do so.
6/27/08	Comply with P.R. 4-5(c).

[1] The parties are directed to Local Rule CV-7(d), which provides in part that "[i]n the event a party fails to oppose a motion in the manner prescribed herein the court will assume that the party has no opposition." Local Rule CV-7(e) provides that a party opposing a motion has **12 days, in addition to any added time permitted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(e)**, in which to serve and file a response and any supporting documents, after which the court will consider the submitted motion for decision.

6/20/08	Comply with P.R. 4-5(b).
6/6/08	Comply with P.R. 4-5(a).
6/3/08	Discovery deadline—claims construction issues
3/21/08	Respond to Amended Pleadings
3/7/08	Amend Pleadings **(It is not necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend before the deadline to amend pleadings except to the extent the amendment seeks to add a new patent in suit. It is necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend after the amended pleadings date set forth herein.)**
4/25/08	Comply with P.R. 4-3.
4/4/08	Comply with P.R. 4-2.
3/14/08	Comply with P.R. 4-1.
2/12/08	Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to claims of privileged documents).
1/15/08	Document production, including source code production, to be completed.
12/30/07	Join Additional Parties
11/30/07	Geomas to specifically identify "software limitation" claim elements in accordance with P.R. 3-1(h).

OTHER LIMITATIONS

1. All depositions to be read into evidence as part of the parties' case-in-chief shall be EDITED so as to exclude all unnecessary, repetitious, and irrelevant testimony; ONLY those portions which are relevant to the issues in controversy shall be read into evidence.

2. The Court will refuse to entertain any motion to compel discovery filed after the date of this Order unless the movant advises the Court within the body of the motion that counsel for the parties have first conferred in a good faith attempt to resolve the matter. See Eastern District of Texas Local Rule CV-7(h).

3. The following excuses will not warrant a continuance nor justify a failure to comply with the discovery deadline:

a. The fact that there are motions for summary judgment or motions to dismiss pending;

b. The fact that one or more of the attorneys is set for trial in another court on the same day, unless the other setting was made prior to the date of this order or was made as a special provision for the parties in the other case;

c. The failure to complete discovery prior to trial, unless the parties can demonstrate that it was impossible to complete discovery despite their good faith effort to do so.

SIGNED this 19th day of November, 2007.

T. John Ward

T. JOHN WARD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE